



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/475,602	12/30/1999	BRYAN J. MOLES	SAMS01-00097	SAMS01-00097 6560	
23990	7590 06/14/2006		EXAMINER		
DOCKET CLERK			SON, LINH L D		
P.O. DRAWE DALLAS, T			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Die	1 7 7 5 5 6 6		2135		
		DATE MAILED: 06/14/2 0 06			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/475,602		BRYAN J. MOLES		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Linh LD Sor		2135		
The Period for Re	ne MAILING DATE of this communicati n ap eply	pears on the o	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (i - If NO perio - Failure to i Any reply i	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D softime may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. a) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. bd for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul received by the Office later than three months after the mailin tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS 136(a). In no even d will apply and will te, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				,		
2a)⊠ Thi 3)⊡ Sin	sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 f</u> s action is FINAL . 2b) This ce this application is in condition for allowable in accordance with the practice under	is action is no ance except f	or formal matters, pro			
Disposition	of Claims					
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	tim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra tim(s) is/are allowed. tim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. tim(s) is/are objected to. tim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from con		·		
Application	Papers					
,	e specification is objected to by the Examir		_			
	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) 🗌 ac					
	plicant may not request that any objection to th					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice of 3) Informati	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) On Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 O(s)/Mail Date	98)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office Action is responding to the Amendment received on 03/21/06.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351 (a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 7-13, 15-18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Forslow, US/6608832B2.
- 5. As per Claims 1, 9, and 17:

Forslow discloses "Common Access Between A mobile communications network and an external network with selectable packet-switched and circuit-switched services" invention, which includes a wireless,

Art Unit: 2135

network (Fig 2), comprising a plurality of base stations (Fig 1 and Fig 2), each of said base stations communicate with a plurality of mobile stations, a security device (Common Access Server 118, Col 15 lines 10-20) capable of preventing an unauthorized workstation from accessing an Internet protocol (IP) Data network through a network (Col 15 lines 15-20), said security device comprising: a first controller (relay agent 120) capable of receiving from said un-provisioned mobile station an IP data packet payload (Col 19 lines 55-67) and *replacing said IP packet header with a replacement IP packet header comprising an IP address of a selected one of at least one provisioning server of said wireless network* (Col 19 line 65 to Col 20 line 20).

6. As per Claims 2 and 11:

Forslow discloses the security device set forth in claims 1 and 9 *where* said first controller is disposed in at least one *of* said plurality *of base* stations (Fig 2).

7. As per Claims 3 and 10:

Forslow discloses the security *device* set forth in *Claim 1* and 9 *wherein* said first controller is disposed in a *mobile* switching center of said *wireless* network (Fig 2).

8. As per claims 4, and 12:

Forslow teaches the security device set forth in claim 1 comprising a second controller (GGSN, Col 18 line 64 to Col 19 line 13) capable of

Art Unit: 2135

determining that said un-provisioned mobile station is un-provisioned (Col 18 line 64 to Col 19 line 13, and Col 20 lines 20-30).

9. As per claims 5, 13, and 18:

Forslow discloses the security device set forth in Claim 1, wherein said second controller determines that said un-provisioned mobile station is un-provisioned if said un-provisioned mobile station is unable to authenticate to said wireless network (Col 18 line 64 to Col 19 line 13, and Col 20 lines 20-30).

10. As per claims 7, 15, and 20:

Forslow discloses the security device set forth in claims 1,9, and 17 wherein the second controller determines that said unprovisioned mobile station is un-provisioned according to data receive from a home location register associated with said wireless network (CoI 18 line 64 to CoI 19 line 13, and CoI 20 lines 20-30).

11. As per claims 8 and 16:

Forslow discloses the security device set forth in Claim 1 wherein said first controller comprises a data processor capable of executing an encryption program stored in a memory associated with said data processor (Col 20 lines 30-45).

Art Unit: 2135

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 6, 14, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forslow et al and in view of Henry (US 5,603,084).
- 14. As per Claims 6, 14, and 19:

Forslow teaches the security device set forth in Claim 1, 9 and 17 and also the second controller and further suggests of different method of internet remote access, such as GSMICDMAITDMA network and more listed in Col 10 lines 40-50. However, Forslow does not teach the step of determining that an un-provisioned mobile station that is unauthorized according to a predetermined telephone number. Nevertheless, Henry discloses a cellular system connected to PSTN through a mobile switching center (MSC) (Col 5 lines 3-21). The cellular system along with the MSC provides determination of a mobile access rights by using the Mobile Identification Number (MIN) equivalent to a 10 digits telephone number (Col 5 lines 33-49). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Art Unit: 2135

incorporate the teaching of Henry into the second controller on the security device of Forslow to determine the mobile station is authorized/unauthorized based on the telephone number. The incorporation could add another layer of authentication to the wireless network to minimize unauthorized mobile device accessing the network (Col 4 lines 29-59 and Col 5 lines 45-64).

Art Unit: 2135

Double Patenting

- 15. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).
- 16. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

17. Claims 1-20 rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6775285.

Art Unit: 2135

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

18. "A later patent claim is not patentably distinct from an earlier patent claim if the later claim is obvious over, or **anticipated by**, the earlier claim. In re Longi, 759 F.2d at 896, 225 USPQ at 651 (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting because the claims at issue were obvious over claims in four prior art patents); In re Berg, 140 F.3d at 1437, 46 USPQ2d at 1233 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting where a patent application claim to a genus is anticipated by a patent claim to a species within that genus). " ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v BARR LABORATORIES, INC., United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, ON PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC (DECIDED: May 30, 2001).

The following list of claims in application 09/475602, hereinafter "602, are obvious over the claims in the patent US/6775285, hereinafter "285:

09/475602	<u>US/6775285</u>
1, 2, 3	1
4	2
5, 6, 13, 14, 19	5, 13
8	6
7, 15, 20	8, 19
9, 10-11	9
12	10

Art Unit: 2135

14

17 17

18 20

In detail:

19. Regarding claims 1 as exemplary in '602 and claims 1 in '285, recite

n with a wireless		
ammunicating with a		
stations capable of communicating with a		
plurality of mobile stations, an inter-		
capable of		
veen said wireless		
net protocol (IP) data		
aid wireless network,		
said inter-working function unit comprising:		
n controller capable of		
vireless network at		
packets, wherein said		
packets are generated		

Art Unit: 2135

by a first one of said plurality of mobile stations and are formatted according to a first protocol associated with said wireless network, and converting said first plurality of data packets to a plurality of IP data packets formatted according to an Internet protocol associated with said IP data network; and

A first controller capable of receiving from said unprovisioned mobile station an IP data packet comprising an IP packet header and an IP packet payload and replacing said IP packet header with a replacement IP packet header comprising an IP address of a selected one of at least one provisioning server of said wireless network.

A first security controller for preventing unprovisioned mobile station from accessing said IP data network through said wireless network, wherein said first security controller is capable of receiving at least one of said plurality of IP data packets and replacing an original IP packet header of said at least one IP data packet with a replacement IP packet header comprising an IP address of a selected one of at least one provisioning server coupled to said IP data network and controlled by an operator of said wireless network.

Art Unit: 2135

Claim 1 in '602 has similar limitation to claim 1 in '285, except the limitation "A protocol conversion controller capable of receiving from said wireless network at first plurality of data packets, wherein said first plurality of data packets are generated by a first one of said plurality of mobile stations and are formatted according to a first protocol associated with said wireless network, and converting said first plurality of data packets to a plurality of IP data packets formatted according to an Internet protocol associated with said IP data network" would be obvious". Nevertheless, this limitation is obvious over claim 1 in '602 because claim 1 in '602 recites a method of accessing the Internet over a wireless network, which would require converting the communication protocol in the wireless environment to compatibly communicate with the IP communication protocol. Therefore, claim 1 in '602 is obvious over claim 1 in '285.

"The exemplary Claim 1 in '602 is generic to the species of invention covered by claim 1 of the patent '285. "A later patent claim is not patentably distinct from an earlier patent claim if the claim is obvious over the earlier or later claim. In re Longi, 759 F.2d at 896, 225 USPQ at 651 (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting because the claims at issue were obvious over claims in four prior art patents); In re Berg, 140 F.3d at 1437, 46 USPQ2d at 1233 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting where a patent application claim to a genus is anticipated by a patent claim to a species within that genus). " ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v BARR LABORATORIES, INC., United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, ON

Art Unit: 2135

PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC (DECIDED: May 30, 2001).

Art Unit: 2135

Response to Arguments

20. Applicant's arguments filed 03/21/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- As per remark on pages 9 and 10, Applicant argues that Forslow reference does 21. not teach "a first controller capable of replacing an IP packet header with a replacement IP packet header comprising an IP address of a provisioning server". Examiner disagrees with the Applicant. The limitation "a first controller capable of replacing an IP packet header with a replacement IP packet header comprising an IP address of a provisioning server" in claim 1 recites a method of modifying an IP packet header to include information about a destination of the provisioning server where the unprovisioned mobile station packets should be going to for difference purposes; In this case, Examiner interpreted as for authentication to get mobile services. As cited in both rejection dated on December 15th, 2005, and the amendment remark filed on 03/21/06, the Col 19 line 53 to Col 20 line 20 recites clearly the Examiner's interpretation above for claim 1 limitation "a first controller capable of replacing an IP packet header with a replacement IP packet header comprising an IP address of a provisioning server". The first controller (relay agent) modifies the IP address header of the mobile station to include the gateway IP address (giaddr) which is the address that identifies the GGSN (the provisioning server).
- 22. Therefore, the rejection basis dated 12/15/05 is maintained, including the double patent rejection basis. See above.

Art Unit: 2135

Conclusion

23. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

24. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linh LD Son whose telephone number is 571-272-3856. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6 (M-F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on 571-272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2135

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Linh LD Son Examiner Art Unit 2135

HOSUK SONG PRIMARY EXAMINER